Infrared Study of the Reaction of Adsorbed Formate Ion with H₂ on Supported Rh Catalysts

Infrared spectroscopy is currently widely used to monitor the catalyst surface during the catalytic hydrogenation of CO, and to identify the species presumed to be of importance in the reaction.

One of the surface species observed in the methanation reaction is the formate ion (1-3). While it was earlier assumed that this species is a possible surface intermediate in the methanation reaction, with the growing importance of surface carbon in this hydrocarbon synthesis the role of formate species in the reaction is nowadays almost entirely ignored. The presence of formate in the hydrogenation of CO on Ru/Al₂O₃ catalyst was recently demonstrated by ir spectroscopy (4). It was claimed, however, that the formate ion was formed directly on the alumina and that its formation did not require metallic Ru. It was regarded as an inactive reaction product or as a byproduct adsorbed on the alumina support.

The formate ion has also been observed in the low-temperature interaction of H_2 + CO_2 and during the methanation of CO_2 on alumina-supported Rh and Ru (5-9). As it was formed at a much lower temperature (313-373 K) than on pure alumina (10, 11), it was concluded that its formation is effectively promoted by the metal. This was reflected in the lower activation energy of its formation (5, 8).

Although there is no doubt that the metal plays an important role in the formation of formate ion in this reaction, from the results of more detailed measurements with supported Rh it has become evident that the formate ion is most probably located on the support and virtually not at all on the Rh (ϑ). The question remains open, however, as to whether the formate ion is completely inert, or whether its reaction also contributes to methane formation.

Although the formate ion has been found to be relatively stable at the methanation temperatures of $CO_2(443-523 \text{ K})$ in vacuo or in a He flow, in situ ir spectroscopic measurements have shown that a proportion of it can easily be eliminated by H₂. This prompted us to investigate more closely the reactivity of adsorbed formate ion toward H₂, and the products of this reaction. The present report gives an account of our results obtained with Rh on different supports.

The Rh samples were the same as used in the study of the $H_2 + CO_2$ interaction (5, 8) and in the methanation of CO_2 (7). The samples were oxidized and reduced in situ at 673 K. Infrared spectra were recorded with a Specord 75 IR double-beam spectrometer (5). Two different cells were used. In the high-temperature cell, which was similar to that described in our other paper (12), the spectra were taken at the reaction temperature, in vacuo or in the gas flow. The products of the surface reaction were analyzed with a Hewlett-Packard gas chromatograph. In a specially designed microcell, spectra were taken at the temperature of the infrared beam (\sim 313 K). The pellet pretreatments and the reaction were carried out in one end of the cell, around which a small furnace was placed. The small volume of the cell (\sim 15 ml) made it possible to flush the gas phase entirely into the gas chromatograph column.

Adsorption of 0.1–2 Torr formic acid on Rh/Al_2O_3 produced strong bands at 1590 and 1380 cm⁻¹ and weaker one at 1395 cm⁻¹ (5). A very weak band at 2915–2926 cm⁻¹ was also identified. On elevation of the



FIG. 1. Changes in the intensities of the formate bands $(1590-1600 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ on different catalysts in a He or H₂ flow. (A) 1 wt% Rh/Al₂O₃; (B) 1 wt% Rh/MgO; (C): (1) Al₂O₃, (2) MgO. $I = \log T_0/T$.

temperature to 423 K, the intensities of the formate bands initially decreased rapidly, but afterward they remained constant (Fig. 1). During decomposition of the formate ion, a weak band appeared at 1443 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum; this can be attributed to a surface carbonate species. The surface formate decomposed to CO₂ and H₂ (~70%) and to CO and H₂ (~30%). Methane was found merely in traces (~0.2%).

On admission of H_2 into the He flow, or in the presence of pure H_2 , the intensities of the formate bands decreased much faster and to a greater extent than in a pure He flow or *in vacuo* (Fig. 1). Complete elimination of the formate bands, however, was not attained at this temperature, even in the presence of H_2 ; about 20–30% of the formate proved rather unreactive toward H_2 . Gas-chromatographic analysis of the gas phase showed that a considerable amount of CH₄ was formed in the reaction of surface formate and H_2 .

Adsorption of formic acid on the alumina support alone produced the same absorption bands as on $Rh/Al_2O_3(5)$. These bands

were stable below 523 K in vacuo or in a He flow. In contrast to the findings on the Rh/Al₂O₃ sample, their intensities hardly changed in the presence of H₂ at 298-473 K (Fig. 1).

For quantitative determination of the extent of transformation of surface formate into CH_4 , further experiments were performed in a micro ir cell. After production of surface formate, the sample was evacuated at 298 K for 10 min and H_2 was introduced into the cell at 298 K. The sample was heated quickly to the reaction temperature and kept there for a certain time (5–15 min) before the gas phase was flushed into the analyzing column of the gas chromatograph.

At the beginning of the reaction, weak bands were observed at 2030 and 1770 cm^{-1} . Figure 2 shows the changes in the surface concentration of formate ion during the reaction, and the amount of methane formed. The relation between the amounts of methane formed and of formate reacted is also indicated. The surface concentration of formate ion was calculated on the basis of the correlation between the absorbance of the formate band at 1590 cm⁻¹ and the



FIG. 2. (A) Changes in the surface concentration of formate ion and the amount of methane formed on Rh/Al₂O₃ in the presence of H₂ at 423 K. The experiments were carried out in the microcell (~15 ml) in the presence of 650 Torr H₂. (B) The relation between the amounts of formate reacted and of methane formed in the reaction on Rh/Al₂O₃ at 423 K. The amount of catalyst disk was 60 mg. •, # represent the results of two experiments.

amount of formate ion on the alumina (10). It appears that about 70–75% of the surface formate on Rh/Al₂O₃ reacted in the presence of H₂ in 60 min at 423 K. This corresponds to 11 μ mol formate ion. At the same time 5.74 μ mol CH₄ was formed. If we take into account the amount of surface formate decomposed under similar conditions in He (~5.5 μ mol), and assuming that this self-decomposition occurs unchangingly in the presence of H₂ as well, it is found that in the hydrogen-formate reaction on Rh/Al₂O₃ one formate ion is converted to approximately one CH₄.

When the Rh content of the catalyst was increased to 5 wt%, the rate of the reaction was considerably higher. In this case a strong band at 2030 cm⁻¹, due to adsorbed CO, was identified during the reaction.

The changes in the stability and the reaction of surface formate in the presence of H_2 are well demonstrated by the temperature-programmed reaction (TPR) spectra of formate adsorbed on Rh/Al_2O_3 (Fig. 3). Obviously, the reaction conditions in this case are basically different from those existing under isothermal conditions at 423 K. Thus, the hydrogenation of formate decomposition products (CO and CO₂) can contribute greatly to the methane formation. Nevertheless, the TPR spectra unambiguously show the effect of H_2 on the reactivity of formate ion.

Similar measurements were performed on Rh/MgO. The changes in the intensities of the formate band at 1590–1600 cm⁻¹ for Rh/MgO in the absence and in the presence of H₂ are illustrated in Fig. 1. On the action of H₂ the intensities of formate bands rapidly decreased. The conversion to CH₄ in this case, however, was much less than on Rh/Al₂O₃. The ratio of the amounts of CH₄ formed and of formate reacted varied in the range 0.05–0.1. The main product of the reaction was CO. No influence of H₂ on the stability of formate ion on the MgO support was observed at the same temperatures (Fig. 1).

The data show that the formate ion,



FIG. 3. (A) Product peaks in He flow from TPR of HCOOH (26.1 μ mol) adsorbed on Rh/Al₂O₃ at room temperature: (1) CO + CO₂ + CH₄ (with TCD detector); (2) CO (with TCD detector); (3) CH₄ (with FID detector). (B) Product peaks in a H₂ flow from TPR of HCOOH (26.1 μ mol) adsorbed on Rh/Al₂O₃ at room temperature. (1) CH₄ (with FID detector); (2) CO₂ (with TCD detector). The flow rate of He (A) and H₂ (B) was 40 ml/min. The heating rate was 40°/min.

which is formed in the hydrogenation of both CO and CO₂, is not so inactive as was thought: in spite of the fact that it resides on the support rather than on the active metal component, it reacts with H₂ to yield CH₄. As this reaction was not observed in the absence of metal, it indicates that the molecular H₂ does not react directly with the formate group, but that the activation of the H₂ on the Rh is required for the reaction. We presume, that in the first step H₂ dissociates on the metal, and that the activated hydrogen migrates to the formate group on the support, reacting with it to produce CH₄.

Since the hydrogenation of CO on Rh/Al_2O_3 is negligible under similar conditions, it may be assumed that the methanation of the formate group does not involve the transient formation and hydrogenation of CO.

We note that the addition of CO to H_2

greatly retards the reaction of adsorbed formate with H_2 . The reason is that CO chemisorbs strongly on the Rh site and as a results reduces the extent of dissociative adsorption of H_2 .

The appearance at the beginning of the reaction of the weak band at 1770 cm⁻¹, which is very near to the C-O stretching vibration of molecularly adsorbed HCOOH, indicates that in the presence of a large excess of H_2 the reaction

$$HCOO_{(a)} + H_{(a)} = HCOOH_{(a)}$$
 (1)

occurred, and a small amount of molecularly bonded HCOOH existed on the surface. An alternative explanation is that one of the surface species formed during the reaction is responsible for this band but we have not yet been able to confirm this possibility.

Although the primary role of the Rh is to produce active hydrogens, we can not exclude the possibility that some of the further reactions also occur with the participation of Rh, very likely at the metal/support interphase.

It should be emphasized that the results presented showed only that the formate ion located on the support can react with activated hydrogen, and that it can not be considered a completely inactive surface species. Further measurements are required to decide whether, during the catalytic hydrogenation of CO and CO_2 , the contribution of this reaction is significant or negligible compared to the reactions of other surface species intermediates formed during the catalytic processes.

REFERENCES

- Vlasenko, V. M., and Yuzefovich, G. E., Russ. Chem. Rev., 38, 728 (1969).
- 2. Mills, G. A., and Steffgen, F. W., Catal. Rev. 8, 159 (1975).
- Grigoriev, V. V., Alexeev, A. M., Golosman, E. Z., Sobolevskii, V. S., and Yakerson, V. I., Kinet. Katal. 16, 975 (1975).
- Dalla Betta, R. A., and Shelef, M., J. Catal. 48, 111 (1977).
- Solymosi, F., Erdöhelyi, A., and Kocsis, M., J. Catal. 65, 428 (1980).
- Solymosi, F., Erdöhelyi, A., and Kocsis, M., J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1 77, 1003 (1981).
- Solymosi, F., Erdöhelyi, A., and Bánsági, T., J. Catal. 68, 371 (1981).
- 8. Solymosi, F., Erdöhelyi, A., and Bánsági, T., J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. I 77 (1981).
- 9. Erdöhelyi, A., Thesis, Szeged University, 1977.
- 10. Amenomiya, Y., Appl. Spectrosc. 32, 484 (1978).
- 11. Amenomiya, Y., J. Catal. 57, 64 (1979).
- 12. Raskó, J., and Solymosi, F., to be published.

F. Solymosi T. Bánsági A. Erdöhelyi

Reaction Kinetics Research Group The University, Szeged P.O. Box 105 Szeged, Hungary

Received January 29, 1981; revised July 16, 1981